

Element J – Score 0 Posture Corrective Apparatus

https://innovationportal.org

This excerpt is from the project entitled "The Design and Creation of a Modified Posture Corrective Apparatus for Adolescent Females"

Element J: Documentation of external evaluation

This entry would be likely to receive a score of 0, based on the EDPPSR.

The student(s) merely indicated that there were no experts consulted other than the project mentor, and identified one recommendation made by that mentor (along with a rationale). Thus, documentation of project evaluation may be considered minimal at best.

It is important that students understand that documentation of project evaluation can come from a wide variety of sources—any and everyone who is a demonstrably qualified stakeholder and/or field expert. Aside from their teacher/mentor, all students have greater access to sources than they may initially recognize.

Engineering Design Process Portfolio Scoring Rubric Component and Element Titles

Component I: Presenting and Justifying a Problem and Solution Requirements

- Element A: Presentation and justification of the problem
- Element B. Documentation and analysis of prior solution attempts
- Element C. Presentation and justification of solution design requirements

Component II: Generating and Defending an Original Solution

- Element D: Design concept generation, analysis, and selection
- Element E: Application of STEM principles and practices
- Element F: Consideration of design viability

Component III: Constructing and Testing a Prototype

- Element G: Construction of a testable prototype
- Element H: Prototype testing and data collection plan
- Element I: Testing, data collection and analysis

Component IV: Evaluation, Reflection, and Recommendations

- Element J: Documentation of external evaluation
- Element K: Reflection on the design project
- Element L: Presentation of designer's recommendations

Component V: Documenting and Presenting the Project

- Element M: Presentation of the project portfolio
- Element N: Writing like an Engineer

Please Note: Elements M and N require no submission from the portfolio author(s) and are intended to be scored based on the portfolio work as a whole from what has been submitted from Elements A through L

Element J: From the Engineering Design Process Portfolio Scoring Rubric (EDPPSR)

Element J: Documentation of external evaluation

- **5** Documentation of project evaluation by multiple, demonstrably qualified stakeholders and field experts is presented and is synthesized in a consistently specific, detailed, and thorough way; documentation is sufficient in two or more categories to yield meaningful analysis of that evaluation data; the synthesis of evaluations consistently addresses evaluators' specific questions, concerns, and opinions related to design requirements.
- **4** Documentation of project evaluation by two or more demonstrably qualified stakeholders and field experts is presented and is synthesized in a generally specific, detailed, and thorough way; documentation is sufficient in at least one category to yield a meaningful analysis of that evaluation data; the synthesis of evaluations generally addresses evaluators' specific questions, concerns, and opinions related to design requirements.
- **3** Documentation of project evaluation by three or four demonstrably qualified stakeholders and/or field experts is presented and is synthesized in a somewhat specific and detailed way, but may not be thorough; documentation may not be sufficient in any category to yield a meaningful analysis of that evaluation data; the synthesis of evaluations addresses at least some of evaluators' specific questions, concerns, and opinions related to design requirements.
- **2** Documentation of project evaluation by two or three representatives of stakeholders and/or field experts (some of whom may not be demonstrably qualified) is presented and is synthesized in a somewhat specific and/or detailed but incomplete or overly general way; the synthesis of evaluations addresses at least a few of evaluators' specific questions, concerns, and/or opinions related to design requirements.
- **1** Documentation of project evaluation by one or two representatives of stakeholders and/or field experts is presented but synthesis is sparse, with few specifics/details; the synthesis of evaluations addresses only one or two of an evaluator's questions, concerns, and/or opinions related to design requirements.
- **O** Documentation of project evaluation by any representative stakeholder or field expert is nonexistent OR if included is minimal; synthesis is minimal or missing and if present, does not address any questions, concerns, or opinions of an evaluator related to design requirements.

Excerpt from the "Reflective Questions for Students" Document regarding Element F from the Innovation Portal Resources files;

 What do end-users and experts directly related to this project and problem statement think of the testing results and my/our conclusions about the effectiveness of this idea?



opportunities -







ĺ

Α

В

С

D

,

Ε

F

_

T.

K

M

N

The Design and Creation of a Modified Posture Corrective Apparatus for Adolescent Females



There were no surveys or experts consulted other than Dr. Michael Sanders who recommended that I not make the lower torso brace bigger than it already is because otherwise it will dig into the wearer's stomach when he/she sits down.